

Completed New (Potential) Program Review Signature Page

Name of Program Reviewed: Master's - Environmental Science

Brenda Schmidt
Division Director or Faculty of Record

8 May 2013
Date of Review

D'Arcy Sauls, PhD, AN
Curriculum Committee Chair

8 May 2013
Date of Review

Krumbsen
Vice President of Academics

8 May 2013
Date of Review

Curriculum Committee Recommendations:

Criteria	Adopt a Program	Enhance a Program	Reconfigure a Program	Program not recommended
Quality and Strength of the Program as Determined from Feasibility Information	The program's quality is substantial and notable.	The program's quality is substantial but could be strengthened through curricular and/or other program enhancements, e.g. providing additional resources, adding or deleting courses.	The program's quality could be strengthened through reconfiguration, e.g. substantial modification of the curriculum	The program's quality and/or contribution to the institution is not substantial enough to justify its implementation.
Expected Enrollment	The program's expected enrollment is strong.	The program's expected enrollment is adequate but could be strengthened.	The program's expected enrollment needs to be strengthened.	The program's expected enrollment does not meet the expectations for implementation.
Ability to Benefit and Positively Impact Sitting Bull College	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances are strong. This Program will benefit the overall mission of the college. The program's development would positively impact other programs.	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances could be developed to strengthen the potential program. The program's development would positively impact other programs.	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances need to be reconfigured in order to positively impact the college.	Relationships, partnerships, and/or alliances will not positively impact the college.
Cost Effectiveness	The program could sustain itself and be a financial asset to the college.	The program could provide more financial gain with additional efforts.	The program's financial expenditures need to be reconfigured in order to be more cost effective.	The program is not cost-effective.

Developed: January 2008
Revised: April 2008
Revised: February 2009
Reviewed:

Approved: June 2008
Approved: February 2009

Revised: November 2008
Revised: January 2011

Approved: January 2009
Approved: 11 February 2011